

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The policy of Government is to reduce the number of holidays as far as possible. It has nothing to do with religious considerations.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Why is it that the axe has fallen only on Basava Jayanthi day and not on other holidays?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—So far there was no holiday for Basava Jayanthi. Now there is a demand for a holiday on Basava Jayanthi day.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರಜಾದಿನಗಳು ಮೊದಲಿದ್ದುವು? ಈಗ ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿವೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ನಿನ್ನೆ ರಂಜಾ ಹಬ್ಬ ಕ್ಯಾಗಿ ಜನರಲ್ ಹಾಲಿಡೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಹಾಗಿರು ವಾಗ ಮೇಜರ್ ಕಮ್ಯುನಿಟಿ ಬಸವಜಯಂತಿ ಆಡಿನಲು ರಜಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದ ನಷ್ಟ ವೇನು?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It is not a question of loss to Government. If there are more holidays naturally there is loss to Government because only less work is turned out. The question is not of giving a holiday for Basava Jayanthi only, but there are a number of other saints like Ramanuja for whose birthdays also holidays are demanded, but it is not possible to give such holidays.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಬಸವೇಶ್ವರರು ಕಾಯಕವೇ ಕೈರಾನ ಎಂದು ಸಾರಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾವು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದೇ ಕೈರಾನವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನೀವು ಹೇಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ತಿಳಿದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಟಿ. ಸೀತಾರಾಮರಾವ್.—ಈ ದಿವಸ ಬಸವಜಯಂತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಶಿವಾಜಿ ಜಯಂತಿ ಎರಡೂ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈಗಲಾದರೂ ರಜಾ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿರಾ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ವೇಳೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಾ ಬಂತು.

Sri G. ANNA RAO.—Is it the opinion of Government that Basava Jayanthi is observed only by a section of the community and so it need not be a holiday?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—It is not a question as to what extent it is observed by the community, but the question is to what extent Government can reduce the number of holidays. Basava and Ramanuja are not considered as

saints belonging to only a particular community. They are national heroes. That is an admitted fact, but the question before Government is to what extent it can reduce the number of holidays.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(But not taken up)

Promotion of III Division Clerks to II Division.

Q.—2584. Sri A. S. DUDHYA NAIK (Honnali—S.C.).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they had published a notification in the *Mysore Gazette* during the year 1946-47 to the effect that III Division Clerks might be promoted to the posts of II Division Clerks on the basis of their services;

(b) if so, the number of III Division Clerks who have so far been promoted as II Division Clerks in pursuance of the above notification?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) A Government Order was issued on 14th May 1946 in old Mysore directing that S.S.L.C. holders and candidates with higher qualifications, who were regularly recruited to service prior to 18th September 1945 and who were holding Class III Posts, should be promoted to Class II Posts, subject to certain conditions.

(b) The information is not readily available.

Removal of Teakwood Rafters by Sri Narayana Gowda from the Municipal Office, Channapatna.

Q.—559. Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA (Channapatna).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether during the term of Presidentship of Sri C. A. Karim of

Channapatna, between the years 1952 and 1954 a cartload of teakwood rafters were removed from the Municipal Office by Sri D. Narayana Gowda, the Vice-President;

(b) whether the Government had referred the matter to the Efficiency Audit Special Officer, Sri Appaji gowda;

(c) whether any report has been received by Government from the Special Officer; if so, the contents of the report;

(d) the action taken on the report?

A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMAIAH
(Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(a) There was a petition from some of the Municipal Councillors about the alleged removal of teakwood rafters from the Municipal Office by Sri D. Narayana Gowda.

(b) Yes.

(c) Yes. Relevant extracts from the Report appended.

(d) The Commissioner for LSG requested the President to furnish the Municipal Council's opinion, on the report, but the Municipal Council resolved to drop the matter.

Removal of teak wood rafters of the Old Slaughter House in Channapatna Municipality, by Sri L. Narayana Gowda, Vice-President.

Extracts from the report of the Special Officer, Efficiency Audit.

“I met the previous President and Vice-President, the present President and five other Councillors on the morning of 9th September 1954 at Channapatna and had a talk with them about their petition to the President of the Municipal Council on 25th September 1953 and their telegram to this office on 21st September 1953 regarding the removal of rafters by the Vice-President from the Town Hall premises. While some of them said that materials of the slaughter house were found with a certain carpenter, most of them agreed that they had previously resolved on 16th October 1953 that the matter might be dropped.”

“The previous President who says that one day he accidentally came

across the old slaughter house and finding it in a highly dilapidated condition, directed the Health Inspector to draw up an estimate of the materials available, would have done well if he had drawn up carefully either in his presence or in the presence of the Vice-President, a correct inventory of the available materials and immediately arranged to get them to the Town Hall and make a note of them in the stock register. Had he done so, there would have been no room for complaints or allegations in this respect.”

“Whatever the truth or otherwise of the allegations, it will be neither practicable nor worthwhile to go into the question at this distance of time especially when no inventory was made before any responsible representative of the municipal council at the time of the articles were taken out and removed.”

“The Councillors themselves (including those who made the complaint) have agreed in the resolution dated 16th October 1953 to drop the matter and the signatories to the petition have withdrawn the petition. In view of the above circumstances, it is best to set this matter at rest.”

Construction of Upper Krishna Project.

Q.—2325. Sri V. KUMAR NAIK
(Shorapur). —

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the survey of the Upper Krishna Project has been completed;

(b) if so, the location of the project;

(c) whether it is a fact that the original site contemplated for the said project has been changed;

(d) if so, the changed place and the reasons for so changing;

(e) the area proposed to be brought under irrigation from the original survey;

(f) the area that would be brought under irrigation from the revised survey?